Gilbert Ritschard and Matthias Studer

NCCR LIVES and Institute for demographic and life course studies University of Geneva http://mephisto.unige.ch

Society For Longitudinal and Life Course Studies International Conference Amsterdam, September 23-25, 2013

28/10/2013gr 1/55

Mining Life Event Sequences Introduction Objectives

Objectives (continued)

- Demonstrate the kind of results that can be obtained by mining event subsequences
- Search for
 - most frequent subsequences
 - subsequences that best discriminate groups (provided covariate)
- New concept of frequent maximal subsequence for more interesting results



Objectives

- Data-mining-based methods (pattern mining)
 - Discovering interesting information from sequences of life events, i.e., on how people sequence important life events
 - What is the most typical succession of family or professional life events?
 - Are there standard ways of sequencing those events?
 - What are the most typical events that occur after a given subsequence such as after leaving home and ending education?
 - How is the sequencing of events related to covariates?
 - Which event sequencings do best discriminate groups such as men and women?

• Mining of frequent (Agrawal and Srikant, 1995; Mannila et al., 1995; Bettini et al., 1996; Mannila et al., 1997; Zaki, 2001) and discriminant event subsequences

Mining Life Event Sequences Introduction Objectives

28/10/2013gr 4/55

28/10/2013gr 6/55

What's new

• Previous attempts with event sequences in social sciences (e.g. Billari et al., 2006; Ritschard et al., 2007) mainly consisted in counting predefined subsequences.





28/10/2013gr 5/55

Mining Life Event Sequences Mining Life Event Sequences Introduction Introduction The Biographical Data from the Swiss Household Panel Objectives The Biographical SHP Data Event sequences versus state sequences • State sequence: states last a whole interval period • Sequences derived from the biographical survey conducted in 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 age 2002 by the Swiss Household Panel www.swisspanel.ch state 2P 2P A A UC UC UC • Retain the 1503 cases studied in Widmer and Ritschard (2009) with techniques for state sequences • Event sequence: events occur at a given (time) position • Two channels: Cohabitational and occupational • Interest in their order, in their sequencing • Can be time stamped (TSE) • Only individuals aged 45 or more at survey time id Timestamp Event • Focus on life trajectory between 20 and 45 years Leaving Home 101 22 • Granularity is yearly level 101 24 Start living with partner Childbirth 101 24 28/10/2013gr 7/55 28/10/2013gr 9/55 Mining Life Event Sequences Mining Life Event Sequences Introduction Introduction The Biographical Data from the Swiss Household Panel The Biographical Data from the Swiss Household Panel The Cohabitational State Sequences The Occupational State Sequences Cohabitational trajectories Occupational trajectories Biological father and mother Missing One biological parent Full time One biological parent with her/his partner Part time Alone Neg. break With partner Pos. break (n=1503) Partner and biological child At home 5 Partner and non biological child Retired Biological child and no partner Education 621

20 22

28/10/2013gr 11/55

26

28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44

FriendsOther

28/10/2013gr 10/55

20 22 24 26

28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44

Introduction

The Biographical Data from the Swiss Household Panel

Short and long state labels

Cohal	pitational	Occupa	tional
2P	Biological father and mother	Mi	Missing
1P	One biological parent	FT	Full time
PP	One biological parent with her/his partner	PT	Part time
A	Alone	NB	Neg. break
U	With partner	PB	Pos. break
UC	Partner and biological child	AH	At home
UN	Partner and non biological child	RE	Retired
С	Biological child and no partner	ED	Education
F	Friends		
0	Other		

28/10/2013gr 12/55

Mining Life Event Sequences

Introduction

The Biographical Data from the Swiss Household Panel

Rendering cohabitational event sequences (Bürgin and Ritschard, 2012)



Mining Life Event Sequences Introduction

The Biographical Data from the Swiss Household Panel

Events associated to cohabitational state transitions

• For cohabitational trajectories, we convert states to events by defining the events associated to the state transitions

	2P	1P	PP	Α	U	UC	UN	С	F	0
2P	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"LH,A"	"LH,U"	"LH,U,C"	"LH,U,C"	"LH,C"	"LH,A"	"LH,O"
1P	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"LH,A"	"LH,U"	"LH,U,C"	"LH,U,C"	"LH,C"	"LH,A"	"LH,O"
PP	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"LH,A"	"LH,U"	"LH,U,C"	"LH,U,C"	"LH,C"	"LH,A"	"LH,O"
Α	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"A"	"U"	"U,C"	"U,C"	"C"		"0"
U	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"UE,A"	"U"	"C"	"C"	"C"	"UE,A"	"UE,O"
UC	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"UE,CL,A"	"CL"	"U,C"	"CL,C"	"UE"	"UE,CL,A"	"UE,CL,O"
UN	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"UE,CL,A"	"CL"	"C"	"U,C"	"UE,C"	"UE,CL,A"	"UE,CL,O"
С	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"CL,A"	"CL,U"	"U"	"CL,C"	"C"	"CL,A"	"CL,O"
F	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"		"U"	"U,C"	"U,C"	"C"	"A"	"0"
0	"2P"	"1P"	"PP"	"A"	"U"	"U,C"	"U,C"	"C"	"A"	"0"

• For occupational trajectories, we assign an event to the start of each spell in a state.

LIVES to convert treatment 28/10/2013gr 13/55



Introduction

Frequent subsequences versus Frequent itemsets

Frequent subsequences versus Frequent itemsets - 1

- Mining of frequent itemsets and association rules has been popularized in the 90's with the work of Agrawal and Srikant (1994); Agrawal et al. (1995) and their Apriori algorithm.
 - Find out items that customers often buy together
 - Symptoms that often occur together before a failure

Mining Life Event Sequences Frequent subsequences in TraMineR Terminolgy

28/10/2013gr 17/55

Events and transitions

- Event sequence: ordered list of transitions.
- Transition: a set of non ordered events.

Example

 $(\mathsf{LHome, Union}) \to (\mathsf{Marriage}) \to (\mathsf{Childbirth})$

- (LHome, Union) and (Marriage) are transitions.
- "LHome", "Union" et "Marriage" are events.

Mining Life Event Sequences

Introduction

Frequent subsequences versus Frequent itemsets

Frequent subsequences versus Frequent itemsets - 2

- Interest on sequences for accounting for the time order of the buys or symptoms
- Mining typical event sequences is a specialized case of the mining of frequent itemsets
 - More complicated however
 - Must specify a counting method: How should we count multiple occurrences of a subsequence in a same sequence?
 - Which time span should be covered? Maximal gap between two events? ...

- Best known algorithms by Bettini et al. (1996), Srikant and Agrawal (1996), Mannila et al. (1997) and Zaki (2001).
- Algorithm in TraMineR is adaptation of the tree search described in Masseglia (2002).

28/10/2013gr 18/55

Mining Life Event Sequences Frequent subsequences in TraMineR Terminolgy

Subsequence

- A subsequence *B* of a sequence *A* is an event sequence such that
 - each event of *B* is an event of *A*,
 - events of B are in same order as in A.

Example

- A (LHome, Union) \rightarrow (Marriage) \rightarrow (Childbirth).
- B (LHome, Marriage) \rightarrow (Childbirth).
- C (LHome) \rightarrow (Childbirth).
- *C* is a subsequence of *A* and *B*, since order of events is respected.
- *B* is not a subsequence of *A*, since we don't know in *B* whether "LHome" occurs before "Marriage".

28/10/2013gr 22/55

Frequent subsequences in TraMineR Terminolgy

Frequent and discriminant subsequences

- Support of a subsequence: number of sequences that contain the subsequence.
 - Frequent subsequence: sequence with support greater than a minimal support.
 - A subsequence is discriminant between groups when its support varies significantly across groups.

Mining Life Event Sequences

28/10/2013gr 23/55

Frequent Swiss life course subsequences

Frequent occupational subsequences Most frequent subsequences, min support = 50

• With at least 2 events

Remember that we assigned the state at age 20 as start event

	Subsequence	Support	Count	#Transitions	#Events
1	(ED) o (FT)	0.283	425	2	2
2	$(FT) \to (AH)$	0.265	398	2	2
3	$(FT) \to (PT)$	0.219	329	2	2
4	$(AH) \to (PT)$	0.130	195	2	2
5	$(ED) \to (AH)$	0.113	170	2	2
6	$(ED) \to (PT)$	0.112	168	2	2
7	(FT) ightarrow (FT)	0.112	168	2	2
8	$(FT) \to (AH) \to (PT)$	0.105	158	3	3
9	$(FT) \to (ED)$	0.073	109	2	2
10	$(ED) \to (FT) \to (PT)$	0.071	107	3	3

Mining Life Event Sequences

Frequent Swiss life course subsequences

Frequent cohabitational subsequences

10 most frequent subsequences, min support = 50

• With at least 2 events

Remember that we assigned the state at age 20 as start event

	Subsequence	Support	Count	#Transitions	#Events
1	$(2P) \rightarrow (LH)$	0.621	934	2	2
2	(2P) ightarrow (U)	0.582	874	2	2
3	(2P) ightarrow (C)	0.477	717	2	2
4	(LH,U)	0.454	682	1	2
5	$(U) \rightarrow (C)$	0.429	645	2	2
6	(2P) ightarrow (LH,U)	0.392	589	2	3
7	(LH) ightarrow (C)	0.382	574	2	2
8	$(A) \to (U)$	0.376	565	2	2
9	$({\rm 2P}) \rightarrow ({\rm LH}) \rightarrow ({\rm C})$	0.325	489	3	3
10	(C,U)	0.291	437	1	2
3gr 25/	55				

Mining Life Event Sequences

28/10/2

Frequent Swiss life course subsequences

Frequent subsequences easily extends to multichannel

- Here we have cohabitational and occupational trajectories
- Merging the two series of time stamped events
 - we get mixed cohabitational/occupational event sequences



Frequent Swiss life course subsequences

Merged cohabitational and occupational sequences 12 most frequent subsequences, min support 150

	Subsequence	Support	Count	#Transitions	#Events
1	$(FT) \rightarrow (U)$	0.695	1045	2	2
2	$(2P) \rightarrow (LH)$	0.621	934	2	2
3	(FT) ightarrow (C)	0.583	876	2	2
4	(2P) ightarrow (U)	0.582	874	2	2
5	$(FT) \to (LH)$	0.555	834	2	2
6	$(2P) \rightarrow (C)$	0.477	717	2	2
7	(LH,U)	0.454	682	1	2
8	$(U) \rightarrow (C)$	0.429	645	2	2
9	$({\rm 2P}) \rightarrow ({\rm LH,U})$	0.392	589	2	3
10	$(LH) \rightarrow (C)$	0.382	574	2	2
11	(2P,FT)	0.378	568	1	2
12	$(A) \to (U)$	0.376	565	2	2
					LIVEs
2013gr 28/	55				

Mining Life Event Sequences

Discriminant subsequences

Differentiating between sexes

Mixed events: Subsequences that best discriminate sex

	Subsequence	Chi-2	Support	Freq. Men	Freq. Women	Diff
1	(FT) ightarrow (AH)	322.7	0.26	0.060	0.470	-0.410
2	(AH)	317.5	0.41	0.181	0.634	-0.453
3	(PT)	269.7	0.28	0.088	0.469	-0.381
4	$(U) \to (PT)$	260.4	0.20	0.036	0.373	-0.337
5	(FT) ightarrow (PT)	247.5	0.22	0.051	0.387	-0.337
6	$(FT) \to (U) \to (AH)$	228.2	0.16	0.016	0.302	-0.286
7	$(U) \to (AH)$	226.0	0.20	0.041	0.350	-0.309
8	(AH) ightarrow (PT)	195.5	0.13	0.008	0.252	-0.244
9	$(C) \rightarrow (PT)$	193.3	0.15	0.019	0.273	-0.254
10	$(FT) \to (U) \to (PT)$	192.7	0.16	0.027	0.289	-0.262

• Mainly occupational events (FT, PT and AH)

• In conjunction with a few cohabitational ones (U and C)

Mining Life Event Sequences

Frequent Swiss life course subsequences

Interesting frequent subsequences

- To get interesting knowledge we need to compare
 - most frequent subsequences
 - with longer less frequent subsequences in which they are included.

For example,

	Subsequence	Support	Count	#Transitions	#Events
2	(2P) ightarrow (LH)	0.621	934	2	2
4	(2P) ightarrow (U)	0.582	874	2	2
9	$(2P) \rightarrow (LH,U)$	0.392	589	2	3

• Here, we know that

 $\bullet\,$ among the 62.1% who left home (LH) after living with both parents (2P) when 20 years old

• 39.2/62.1 = 63% left home to start a union the same year

Mining Life Event Sequences Discriminant subsequences

28/10/2013gr 29/55

Differentiating between sexes

Mixed events: Subsequences that best discriminate sex $_{\text{at the 0.1\% level}}$



Mining Life Event Sequences Discriminant subsequences

Differentiating among birth cohorts

Birth cohort distribution



Mining Life Event Sequences Discriminant subsequences Differentiating among birth cohorts

Mixed events: Subsequences that best discriminate birth cohorts



Mining Life Event Sequences

Discriminant subsequences

Differentiating among birth cohorts

Mixed events: Subsequences that best discriminate birth cohorts

	Subsequence	Chi-2	Support	1910-25	1926-45	1946-57
1	(PT)	64.5	0.28	0.042	0.205	0.362
2	(U) ightarrow (PT)	63.0	0.20	0.014	0.135	0.281
3	(FT) ightarrow (PT)	56.1	0.22	0.014	0.156	0.291
4	(A) ightarrow (PT)	46.3	0.11	0.028	0.055	0.160
5	$(FT) \to (U) \to (PT)$	38.5	0.16	0.000	0.114	0.210
6	(ED) o (PT)	36.8	0.11	0.028	0.065	0.159
7	$(LH) \rightarrow (PT)$	35.9	0.15	0.014	0.109	0.204
8	$(U) \rightarrow (C)$	34.2	0.43	0.239	0.370	0.497
9	(C) ightarrow (PT)	34.0	0.15	0.014	0.103	0.194
10	(2P) ightarrow (PT)	32.7	0.17	0.014	0.126	0.215

• Mainly emergence of Part-time (PT)

Maximal subsequences

Too many frequent subsequences

- There are often too many frequent subsequences!
- How can we structure those subsequences?
 - Eliminate redundant subsequences: When you experience one subsequence you also experiment all its subsequences.
 - Count only maximal subsequences
 - If subsequence $(FT) \rightarrow (AH) \rightarrow (PT)$ is observed,
 - we would not count the occurrence of $(FT) \rightarrow (AH), (FT) \rightarrow (PT)$ or $(AH) \rightarrow (PT)$



28/10/2013gr 36/55

Mining Life Event Sequences



Mining Life Event Sequences Maximal subsequences

Frequent maximal subsequence: Definition

- Frequent maximal subsequence: In each sequence, we count only those subsequences which are not themselves a subsequence of another frequent subsequence present in the same sequence.
 - Example: The subsequence (2P) → (LH) will be considered a maximal subsequence of sequences which do not also have a frequent supersequence such as (2P) → (LH,U).

Mining Life Event Sequences Maximal subsequences

28/10/2013gr 40/55

Frequent maximal subsequences: algorithm

- Find frequent subsequences for the selected support
- Starting from the longest obtained frequent subsequence
 - Adjust the count of each of its subsequence (by reducing their counts by the number of occurrences of the considered frequent sequence).
 - Delete from the list subsequences with counts falling below the support threshold.
- Iterate on frequent subsequences ordered in decreasing order of length (using their already adjusted counts)

Mining Life Event Sequences Maximal subsequences

Maximal subsequences

Maximal frequent sequence in pattern mining

- Our definition of a frequent maximal subsequence differs from the notion of maximal frequent sequence used in pattern mining, where a frequent sequence is said maximal if none of its supersequence is frequent.
- In pattern mining, if *s* is a maximal frequent sequence, then none of its subsequences is a maximal frequent subsequence, even if it occurs frequently in sequences which do not include *s*.
- This is not very useful for life trajectories where we may be interested to know that
 - $\bullet\,$ It is frequent to start a union (U) without having a child afterwards (U) $\!\!\!\!\rightarrow$ (C)

Mining Life Event Sequences Maximal subsequences

28/10/2013gr 41/55

Max subsequences, cohabitational-occupational events 12 most frequent maximal subsequences, min support 150

	Subsequence	Support	Count	#Transitions	#Events
1	$(2P) \rightarrow (C,LH,U)$	0.160	241	2	4
2	$(FT) \to (U) \to (AH)$	0.159	239	3	3
3	$(FT) \to (U) \to (PT)$	0.158	237	3	3
4	$(FT) \to (A,LH) \to (U)$	0.152	228	3	4
5	$(2P,ED)\to(FT)\to(U)$	0.140	210	3	4
6	(FT) ightarrow (C,LH,U)	0.140	210	2	4
7	$(AH) \rightarrow (C)$	0.137	206	2	2
8	$(2P) \to (LH) \to (AH)$	0.133	200	3	3
9	(AH) ightarrow (U)	0.130	195	2	2
10	$(2P,FT)\to(LH,U)$	0.129	194	2	4
11	$(2P) \to (LH) \to (PT)$	0.128	193	3	3
12	$(2P,FT)\to(AH)$	0.126	190	2	3

28/10/2013gr 43/55

Max subsequences, cohabitational-occupational events 12 most frequent maximal subsequences, min support 200

	Subsequence	Support	Count	#Transitions	#Events
1	$(2P,FT) \rightarrow (LH,U)$	0.229	344	2	4
2	$(A) \to (U) \to (C)$	0.194	291	3	3
3	(2P,ED) \rightarrow (LH)	0.189	284	2	3
4	$(ED) \to (FT) \to (C)$	0.189	284	3	3
5	$(2P) \to (A,LH) \to (U)$	0.181	272	3	4
6	$(2P,FT)\to(LH)\to(C)$	0.178	268	3	4
7	$(2P) \to (LH,U) \to (C)$	0.168	253	3	4
8	(2P) ightarrow (PT)	0.166	250	2	2
9	$(FT) \to (LH,U) \to (C)$	0.166	250	3	4
10	$({\rm 2P}) \rightarrow ({\rm C,LH,U})$	0.160	241	2	4
11	$(FT) \to (U) \to (AH)$	0.159	239	3	3
12	$(FT) \to (U) \to (PT)$	0.158	237	3	3

Mining Life Event Sequences

Maximal subsequences

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Frequent max-subsequences discriminating birth cohorts} \\ \mbox{Minsupport}{=}150 \end{array}$

	Subsequence	Chi-2	Support	1910-25	1926-45	1946-57
1	$(A) \rightarrow (PT)$	46.3	0.11	0.028	0.055	0.160
2	$(FT) \to (U) \to (PT)$	38.5	0.16	0.000	0.114	0.210
3	(ED) ightarrow (PT)	36.8	0.11	0.028	0.065	0.159
4	(2P) ightarrow (LH) ightarrow (PT)	30.4	0.13	0.014	0.090	0.172
5	(2P) ightarrow (U) ightarrow (PT)	27.0	0.12	0.000	0.083	0.154
6	(2P) ightarrow (C,LH,U)	26.2	0.16	0.268	0.202	0.115
7	$(U) \rightarrow (UE)$	26.1	0.12	0.028	0.082	0.159
8	(FT) ightarrow (UE)	22.9	0.10	0.028	0.068	0.137
9	$(FT) \to (C) \to (PT)$	22.8	0.12	0.000	0.094	0.155
10	$(FT) \to (C,LH,U)$	21.8	0.14	0.155	0.185	0.100

Mining Life Event Sequences

Maximal subsequences

Solutions change with chosen support

- As seen, solutions vary with chosen minsupport
- For minsupport = 0, we get the set of complete event sequences.
- We are working on criteria to select an optimal minsupport
 - to minimize the number of subsequences with no representative

- ${\scriptstyle \bullet }$ maximize the average number of representatives
- ...



Mining Life Event Sequences Maximal subsequences

Frequent max-subsequences discriminating between cohorts



Mining Life Event Sequences Conclusion

Conclusion

- Three approaches for event sequences
 - frequent episodes
 - discriminant episodes
 - cluster analysis (not addressed in this presentation)
- Complementary insights
 - most common characteristics
 - salient distinctions between groups
 - identify types of trajectories
- Easy to extend to other types of analyses (representative sequences, discrepancy analyses, ...)

28	8/10	/2013gr	49/55



Mining Life Event Sequences Conclusion

Conclusion

- Looking at frequent max-subsequences produces more directly interpretable results
- Issue: Solutions vary with the minsupport threshold

28/10/2013gr 50/55

Mining Life Event Sequences Conclusion

References I

- Agrawal, R., H. Mannila, R. Srikant, H. Toivonen, and A. I. Verkamo (1995).
 Fast discovery of association rules. In U. M. Fayyad, G. Piatetsky-Shapiro,
 P. Smyth, and R. Uthurusamy (Eds.), *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pp. 307–328. Menlo Park, CA: AAAI Press.
- Agrawal, R. and R. Srikant (1994). Fast algorithm for mining association rules in large databases. In J. B. Bocca, M. Jarke, and C. Zaniolo (Eds.), Proceedings 1994 International Conference on Very Large Data Base (VLDB'94), Santiago de Chile, San-Mateo, pp. 487–499. Morgan-Kaufman.
- Agrawal, R. and R. Srikant (1995). Mining sequential patterns. In P. S. Yu and A. L. P. Chen (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Engeneering (ICDE), Taipei, Taiwan*, pp. 487–499. IEEE Computer Society.
- Bettini, C., X. S. Wang, and S. Jajodia (1996). Testing complex temporal relationships involving multiple granularities and its application to data mining (extended abstract). In PODS '96: Proceedings of the fifteenth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART symposium on Principles of database systems, New York, pp. 68–78. ACM Press.

28/10/2013gr 52/55



Mining Life Event Sequences Conclusion

References II

- Billari, F. C., J. Fürnkranz, and A. Prskawetz (2006). Timing, sequencing, and quantum of life course events: A machine learning approach. *European Journal of Population* 22(1), 37–65.
- Bürgin, R. and G. Ritschard (2012). Categorical parallel coordinate plot. In LaCOSA Lausanne Conference On Sequence Analysis, University of Lausanne, June 6th-8th 2012, Lausanne. Poster.
- Gabadinho, A., G. Ritschard, M. Studer, and N. S. Müller (2009). Mining sequence data in R with the TraMineR package: A user's guide. Technical report, Department of Econometrics and Laboratory of Demography, University of Geneva, Geneva.
- Mannila, H., H. Toivonen, and A. I. Verkamo (1995). Discovering frequent episodes in sequences. In Proceedings of the First International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD-95), Montreal, Canada, August 20-21, 1995, pp. 210–215. AAAI Press.
- Mannila, H., H. Toivonen, and A. I. Verkamo (1997). Discovery of frequent episodes in event sequences. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 1(3), 259–289.

28/10/2013gr 53/55

Mining Life Event Sequences Conclusion

References IV

- Srikant, R. and R. Agrawal (1996). Mining sequential patterns: Generalizations and performance improvements. In P. M. G. Apers, M. Bouzeghoub, and G. Gardarin (Eds.), Advances in Database Technologies – 5th International Conference on Extending Database Technology (EDBT'96), Avignon, France, Volume 1057, pp. 3–17. Springer-Verlag.
- Widmer, E. and G. Ritschard (2009). The de-standardization of the life course: Are men and women equal? *Advances in Life Course Research 14*(1-2), 28–39.
- Zaki, M. J. (2001). SPADE: An efficient algorithm for mining frequent sequences. *Machine Learning* 42(1/2), 31–60.

Mining Life Event Sequences Conclusion

References III

- Masseglia, F. (2002). Algorithmes et applications pour l'extraction de motifs séquentiels dans le domaine de la fouille de données : de l'incrémental au temps réel. Ph. D. thesis, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin en Yvelines.
- Ritschard, G., R. Bürgin, and M. Studer (2013). Exploratory mining of life event histories. In J. J. McArdle and G. Ritschard (Eds.), *Contemporary Issues in Exploratory Data Mining in the Behavioral Sciences*, Quantitative Methodology, pp. 221–253. New York: Routledge.
- Ritschard, G., A. Gabadinho, N. S. Müller, and M. Studer (2008). Mining event histories: A social science perspective. *International Journal of Data Mining, Modelling and Management* 1(1), 68–90.
- Ritschard, G., M. Studer, N. Muller, and A. Gabadinho (2007). Comparing and classifying personal life courses: From time to event methods to sequence analysis. In 2nd Symposium of COST Action C34 (Gender and Well-Being). The Transmission of Well-Being: Marriage Strategies and Inheritance Systems in Europe from 17th-20th Centuries. University of Minho, Guimaraes, Portugal, April 25-28, 2007.

28/10/2013gr 54/55

